United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/565,481	01/20/2006	Pierre Barberis	12467/8	2123
²⁶⁶⁴⁶ KENYON & K	7590 11/20/2007 FNYON LLP		EXAMINER	
ONE BROAD	WAY		PALABRICA, RICARDO J	
NEW YORK, NY 10004		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3663	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
065 4-45 0	10/565,481	BARBERIS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Rick Palabrica	3663					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 O	ctober 2007.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-29</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-29</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
. Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the control of the contro	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa						

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's 10/22/07 Amendment in response to the previous examiner's 4/19/07 Office action is acknowledged.

Upon further review, the current examiner noted that there are distinct species in the application that the previous examiner appears to have failed to recognize, which necessitate the following Restriction requirement.

The current examiner regrets any inconvenience to the applicant.

Election/Restrictions

2. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

- A: Wherein the alloy element contents by weight are Sn=1.2% to 1.7%,
 Fe=0.07% to 0.20%, Cr=0.05% to 0.15%, Ni=0.03% to 0.08%, O=900 ppm to 1600 ppm.
- B: Wherein the alloy element contents by weight are Sn=1.2% to 1.7%,
 Fe=0.18% to 0.24%, Cr=0.05% to 0.15%, O=900 ppm to 1600 ppm.
- C: Wherein the alloy element contents by weight are Sn=0.5% to 2%,
 Nb=0.5% to 2%, Fe=0.1% to 0.5%.

Application/Control Number: 10/565,481

Art Unit: 3663

D: Wherein the alloy element contents by weight are Sn=0.5% to 2%,
 Fe=0.1% to 1%, Cr=0.1% to 1.2%.

- E: Wherein the alloy element contents by weight are Nb=1.5% to 3.5%,
 Sn=0.5% to 2%.
- 3. Upon election of one of the species identified above as A-E, applicant is further required to elect one of the following disclosed species:
 - F: Wherein the rolling performs a 5% to 16% reduction.
 - G: Wherein the rolling performs a 5% to 10% reduction.
- 4. Upon election of one of the species identified above as F and G, applicant is further required to elect one of the following disclosed species:
 - H: Wherein the product is made into a fuel element of a light water reactor.
 - I: Wherein the product is made into a box of a boiling water reactor.
 - J: Wherein the product is made into a grid of a boiling water reactor.
 - K: Wherein the product is made into a gird of a pressurized water reactor.
 - L: Wherein the product is made into a central tube defining a circular path.
- 4. Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/565,481

Art Unit: 3663

subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

5. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

A-claim 17; B-claim 18; C-claim 19; D-claim 20; E-claim 21.

F-claim 22; G-claim 23.

H-claim 26; I-claim 27; J-claim 28; K-claim 28; L-claim 29.

The following claim(s) are generic: claim 16.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The special technical feature of species A is a composition having Fe=0.07% to 0.20%, while the special technical feature of species B is a composition having Fe=0.18% to 0.24%. Since the special technical feature of species A is not present in claims drawn to species B and the special technical feature of species B is not present

in claims drawn to species A, unity of invention is lacking. An analogous argument can be made with regard to the other species_as to why unity of invention is lacking.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Application/Control Number: 10/565,481

Art Unit: 3663

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by

a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Rick Palabrica whose telephone number is 571-272-

6880. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00-4:30, Mon-Thurs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jack Keith can be reached on 571-272-6878. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

RJP

November 19, 2007

RICARDO J. PALABRICA PRIMARY EXAMINED Page 6